

1 MICHELLE D. ALARIE, ESQ.
2 Nevada Bar No. 11894
3 ARMSTRONG TEASDALE LLP
3770 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 200
3 Las Vegas, Nevada 89169
4 Telephone: 702.678.5070
4 Facsimile: 702.878.9995
5 malarie@atllp.com

6 *Attorneys for Defendant Green Dot Corporation*

7 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

8 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

9 CHARLES JACKSON

10 Plaintiffs,

11 vs.

12 GREEN DOT CORPORATION,

13 Defendants.

Case No.: 2:22-cv-00129-JAD-EJY

14 **STIPULATION AND ~~PROPOSED~~
15 ORDER TO EXTEND DEFENDANT
16 GREEN DOT CORPORATION'S
17 DEADLINE TO RESPOND TO FIRST
18 AMENDED COMPLAINT**

19 **[SECOND REQUEST]**

20 Defendant, Green Dot Corporation (“Green Dot”), by and through its counsel, Armstrong
21 Teasdale LLP, and Plaintiff Charles Jackson, (“Plaintiff”), by and through his counsel, Krieger Law
22 Group, LLC, hereby agree and stipulate, subject to this Court’s approval, to extend the deadline for
23 Green Dot to answer or otherwise respond to the First Amended Complaint by another thirty (30)
24 days, or from March 22, 2022, to April 21, 2022. This is the second request to extend this particular
25 deadline.

26 On January 24, 2022, Plaintiff filed a Complaint against Green Dot asserting a single claim
27 for violation of the Fair Credit Reporting Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1681, arising from Green Dot’s alleged
28 impermissible inquiry of Plaintiff’s consumer report. (ECF No. 1). Plaintiff recently filed a First
Amended Complaint on March 8, 2022. (ECF No. 8.) Therefore, under FRCP 15(a)(3), Green Dot’s
deadline to answer or otherwise respond to the First Amended Complaint is currently March 22,
2022.

29 Good cause exists to extend the deadline for Green Dot to respond to the First Amended
30 Complaint by another 30 days, or to April 21, 2022. Plaintiff recently filed a First Amended

1 Complaint asserting new factual allegations. Green Dot's investigation into those new allegations is
2 ongoing including inquiring with third parties into the allegations. Green Dot has been diligent to
3 date, however, Green Dot requires additional time in order to prepare its response to the First
4 Amended Complaint. Plaintiff does not object to another 30-day extension of the response deadline.
5 The parties believe that this second extension will not unduly delay proceedings as this case as it is
6 still in its infancy and no scheduling order is currently in place. This stipulation is entered into in
7 good faith and is not filed for improper purposes.

8 Accordingly, the parties request that this Court enter and order extending Green Dot's
9 deadline to answer or otherwise respond to the First Amended Complaint by 30 days, or to April 21,
10 2022.

11 DATED this 16th day of March, 2022.

12 **KRIEGER LAW GROUP, LLC**

13 By: /s/ Shawn Miller
14 DAVID KRIEGER, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 9086
15 SHAWN MILLER, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 7825
2850 W. Horizon Ridge Parkway
Suite 200
16 Henderson, Nevada 89052

17 *Attorneys for Plaintiff Charles Jackson*

DATED this 16th day of March, 2022.

ARMSTRONG TEASDALE LLP

13 By: /s/ Michelle D. Alarie
14 MICHELLE D. ALARIE, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 11894
15 3770 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 200
Las Vegas, Nevada 89169

16 *Attorneys for Defendant Green Dot
Corporation*

20 **ORDER**

21 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

22 
23 CLAYTON J. ZOUCHAL
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
24 DATED: March 16, 2022